## Phillips Community College of the University of Arkansas Submitted by Deborah King, Ed.D.; Vice Chancellor for Instruction

#### Institutional Report on the Annual Review of Faculty Performance for 2012 Academic Year: 2011-2012

Arkansas statute (ACA 6-63-104) and AHECB policy require that each college and university conduct an annual review of each faculty member's performance. ADHE is required to monitor the evaluation process and report findings to the Coordinating Board and Legislative Council. This form will collect the information required for ADHE to satisfy its obligations.

<u>Directions</u>: Summarize the Annual Faculty Performance Review process at your institution. When a description is requested, please provide only a <u>summary</u> on the report form—brief, concise, and to the point. Should you need to elaborate further on any of these points, attach additional information as an appendix to this form. An electronic copy of this report is due to ADHE by June 1, 2011.

#### **Elements of the Faculty Performance Review Process**

## 1. Summarize the overall faculty performance review process.

PCCUA's Faculty Performance Review includes assessment of instructional delivery and instructional design.

No substantive changes will be made to the faculty evaluation at PCCUA. The Faculty Association has reviewed the evaluation tool and will make a few wording changes. There is a need to clarify major points accumulated in relation to the number of activities required to meet the minimum score on the portfolio for community service, college service, and professional development (See Attachment 1 and 2 for Reference).

<u>Instructional delivery</u> assessment is measured by student evaluation of the faculty. Each faculty member selects two classes at the beginning of each semester. Students enrolled in the two classes will be the source of the faculty members evaluation. In addition, the two classes selected are to have a minimum enrollment of 20 students.

<u>Instructional design</u> assessment is measured based on peer and division dean perceptions of faculty performance.. The portfolio is used to display primary artifacts which document work these include syllabi, student learning objectives, and demonstrations of instruction and assessment. College service, community service, and professional development activities are the focus of this portion of the review. One peer reviewer, division dean, and a faculty member who is a member of the Faculty Association are selected to review the portfolio (3 reviewers). Criteria used for the review include grades, reports, student documentation, other reporting functions. In addition, classroom management resources are used to evaluate course management.

Faculty who dispute assessment outcomes provided by any or all of the reviewers may appeal the outcome of the evaluation. The appeal process allows the Faculty Evaluation Appeal Committee to hear appeals and makes recommendations about appeal outcomes with the Vice Chancellor for Instruction. The final decision about whether to accept or reject the appeal rests with the Vice Chancellor for Instruction.

### 2. How are faculty peers involved in faculty performance?

The portfolio is evaluated by two peers and the faculty member's direct supervisor, the division dean. Peer evaluation is a critical element of the evaluation process and the College believes that faculty should evaluate other faculty. In addition to faculty engagement in the evaluation, they are consulted about any change(s) or adjustment(s) to the faculty evaluation tool and evaluation changes must be approved by Faculty Senate.

#### 3. How are students involved in faculty performance?

Instructional delivery is assessed by the students. Faculty select two classes and students enrolled in the two classes respond to various kinds of questions: forced choice, scaled performance and open ended. The open ended questions at the end of the evaluation provide an opportunity for students to provide unscripted feedback. Evaluations are administered eight weeks into the semester. The student assessment is anonymous to ensure students' freedom to comment without fear of retribution.

### 4. How are administrators involved in faculty performance?

Deans and program directors evaluate all full and part time faculty. Once these are completed, deans meet with faculty to review program outcomes and if both agree on the evaluation than the form is signed by both parties. The evaluation is forwarded to the Vice Chancellor for Instruction and outcomes are recorded by the Director of Assessment and Institutional Effectiveness.

## 5. How do faculty members self-evaluate their performance?

Each faculty member completes the portfolio. What is included in the portfolio is determined by each faculty member and should reflect instructional competency. The portfolio design allows the faculty member to highlight areas of growth or improvement. When the faculty member has submitted the portfolio and it has been evaluated by two peers and a dean, that faculty member meets with the division dean to discuss the evaluation outcome. That meeting provides an opportunity for the faculty member to make verbal or written comments about the outcome of the evaluation.

#### 6. Describe any other activities used to evaluate faculty performance.

The faculty evaluation includes a review of an instructors' community service, college service, and professional development. Faculty earning five service points are considered "exceptional" based on the evaluation tool. The degree of engagement varies from instructor to instructor. Generally, it is believed that a high degree of engagement in one or two areas is greater than a low degree of engagement in many activities. Sometimes a dean or supervisor desires to praise a faculty member for outstanding contributions, work, or achievements. This kind of recognition is written on the faculty evaluation outcome form.

#### **Institutional Monitoring of the Faculty Performance Review Process**

1. D	Ooes the in	nstitution	monitor	the annual	faculty rev	iew process?	' X	Yes	No
------	-------------	------------	---------	------------	-------------	--------------	-----	-----	----

#### 2. If yes, describe the procedures and persons responsible for the monitoring.

The evaluation response form is forwarded to the Office of Instruction and placed in the faculty members personnel file. The faculty portfolio is returned to the faculty member. The person responsible for the Faculty Performance review is Dr. Deborah King, Vice Chancellor for Instruction. All documents are filed with Debbie Hardy, Director of

Assessment and Institutional Effectiveness.

3. If no, describe measures that are being taken to begin annual monitoring.

## **Use of Review Findings**

1. How are performance results used in decisions related to promotions, salary increases or job tenure? N/A PCCUA has no tenure and evaluations are used for development not promotion.

#### **English Fluency of Teaching Faculty**

1 How do students and administrators review the English fluency of all teaching faculty—full-time, part-time, and graduate teaching assistants?

PCCUA has no non-English speaking instructors.

- 2. What measures are in place to assist deficient faculty in becoming English proficient? N/A
- 3. Summarize English deficiency findings and note action taken by the institution. N/A

## **College of Education Support for Accredited Public Schools**

1. If applicable, how does the institution's College of Education and related discipline faculty members work collaboratively with accredited public schools in Arkansas?

There is no College of Education at PCCUA. PCCUA has a two plus two transfer program with the University of Arkansas at Monticello (UAM). Students enrolled in this program are usually graduates of PCCUA but enrolled in UAM courses on-line and via compressed video. PCCUA is actively involved with the regional Delta Bridge Project Educational Goal Team and a college employee serves as the cochair of this planning group.

### **Notable Findings and Future Plans**

1. List any notable findings from the annual faculty review process conducted during the year that may have implications for future annual faculty reviews.

The instructional delivery performance outcomes are slightly lower than the instructional design scores. The evaluation indicates that full and adjunct faculty have similar evaluation outcomes. These outcomes do reflect that the Division of Allied Health has the highest ratings and that Division of Career and Technical Education has the lowest ratings.

#### **Student Evaluation of Full time faculty** (72 faculty evaluated)

Overall Average

Instructional delivery: 2.77 Instructional Design: 2.84

Division	Instructional delivery	<b>Instructional Design</b>
Allied Health	2.81	2.88
Arts & Science	2.78	2.85
Business & Inform. Sys.	2.72	2.80
Dev. & Adult Ed.	2.80	2.82
Applied Tech.	2.82	2.91
Career & Tech	2.71	2.78

## **Student Evaluation of part-Time faculty (32)**

Instructional Delivery: 2.75 Instructional Design: 2.83

Faculty Portfolio Evaluation Averages (62 faculty submitted portfolios)

Overall Portfolio Average 2011	2.83
Division Average	es
Allied Health	2.96
Arts & Science	2.91
Business & Inform. Sys.	2.93
Dev. & Adult Ed.	2.97
Applied Tech.	2.87
Career & Tech	2.45

Four faculty were excused from providing a portfolio for a variety of reasons:

Martha Brothers-illness Arnell Willis-part-time Denise Porter-part-time/retiring Annie Guest-resigned at the end of the fall semester

Faculty indicated satisfaction with the evaluation tool and the process used to evaluate. No problems were reported with the instrument.

2. Describe any plans or revisions to the annual faculty review process that have been developed as a result of the findings noted above. (Any significant revision to an institution's annual faculty review plan must be submitted to ADHE separate from this report and received by June 1, 2011 in order to be considered for approval by the AHECB at the July 2011 board meeting.)

#### **Level of Faculty Satisfaction with Current Process**

1. On the scale below, indicate the faculty's overall sense of satisfaction with the annual review process. If the rating is low (1 or 2), briefly describe corrective measures that will be implemented.

Faculty did indicate a high level of satisfaction with the evaluation process. However, a few faculty have indicated that they would like to see changes in the portfolio process. Based on conversations with leaders in Faculty Senate, faculty rank the evaluation process at an 8. The satisfaction scale was not provided o all faculty, only a faculty focus group.

# ATTACHMENT 1: PEER EVALUATION OF FACULTY MEMBER <u>2011 DOCUMENT</u>: CHANGES HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW FONT

Peer 1	Evaluati	on of F	aculty	Member	

Please use the scale below for rating faculty.

## **Teaching Rating Scale (Use for Section I B1-a and b: Teaching)**

#### **Rating Scale**

- $\overline{3 Exceptional}$  (15 or higher per syllabus)
- 2 Effective (13-14 per syllabus)
- 1 Needs Improvement (12 or below per syllabus)

Teaching Rating Scale for Questions B2-2, B3-3, and B4-4 – follow rating scale listed for each question.

#### College Service, Professional Development and Community Service Rating Scale

## 3 – Exceptional.

This is a job performance that is outstanding in almost every aspect. An exceptional rating implies that virtually any knowledgeable observer would recognize the overall high quality results in all major areas of job emphasis. To earn a rating of *exceptional* in College Service, Professional Development and Community Service the faculty member should have 6 or above total points.

#### 2 – Effective.

This is a job performance at the level intended for the job. Overall performance does not noticeably deviate from an acceptable level. **To earn a rating of** *effective* **in College Service**, **Professional Development and Community Service**, the faculty member should have 4-5 total points.

#### 1 – Needs Improvement.

This is job performance that is short of effective. Further development and/or experience on the job is needed and there should be improvement within the next year. To earn a rating of *needs* improvement in College Service, Professional Development and Community Service, the faculty member should have 3 or less total points.

Instructor P	Being Evaluated:		
I.	Teaching		
Α.	Instructional Delivery Skills (average of question recorded by the dean)	s 1-13 on student	evaluations, will be
В.	Instructional Design Skills (average of questions recorded by the dean)	14-15 on student	evaluations, will be
	1. Has current and relevant syllabi (Two current syl	labi are provided)	ı
	ring the content of syllabi in the portfolio, indicate (din the syllabi.	check <u>Yes)</u> if the i	tems listed below
<b>B1-a.</b> Admir syllabus:	nistrative procedure #363.02 requires the following se	ctions be included	l in a course
	Course Name and Number _		
		Syllabus 1	Syllabus 2
	<ol> <li>Title of Course &amp; Date</li> <li>Instructor Name and Contact Information</li> <li>Credit Hours</li> <li>College Catalog Description of the Course</li> <li>Student Learning Outcomes</li> <li>Course Outline of assignments and class activities (ex. deadlines, fixed number of</li> </ol>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Yes</u>
	examinations, field trips, appearances by guests, outline can be included as separate document)  7. Conduct of the Course (ex. types of examination absence policies, grading, participation,	_	
	outside reading, etc.)  8. Academic Honesty Policy (Allied Health or		
	other programs may provide program handbook  9. Campus Support Services (Allied Health or other programs may provide program handbook		
	10. ADA Policy		

\*Must have ALL of the "required" items above to receive a rating of Effective.

Sub-total (B1-a) Syllabus 1\_\_\_

11. FERPA Policy12. Insurance

Points (Tally the checks)

13. ACTS

Syllabus 2 \_\_\_\_

		Course Name	and Number	
			Syllabus	1
Sylla	ibus 2			
			<u>Yes</u>	<u>Y</u>
	1. College Core Co	_		[
	2. Group Projects/			L
	3. Community Ser			L
	<ul><li>4. Computer Activ</li><li>5. Field Trips</li></ul>	ities		
	6. Textbook/Readi	no Assionments	H	
	7. Other	-		
			_	
	Sul	o-Total (B1-b)	Syllabus 1	Syllabus 2
Exception	nal (15 or higher per s	syllabus)		
Exception Effective Needs Im		ow per syllabus)	w for each syllabus.	
Exception Effective Needs Im	nal (15 or higher per s (13-14 per syllabus) aprovement (12 or belo	ow per syllabus) g in the blank belo	w for each syllabus.	
Exception Effective Needs Im	nal (15 or higher per set (13-14 per syllabus) aprovement (12 or below proper number ration Course Number	ow per syllabus) g in the blank belo	·	
Exception Effective Needs Im Place the	nal (15 or higher per section (13-14 per syllabus) aprovement (12 or below proper number ration Course Number	ow per syllabus)  g in the blank belo  Rating (R)	(Syllabus 1)	
Effective Needs Im Place the	nal (15 or higher per section (13-14 per syllabus) aprovement (12 or below proper number ration Course Number	ow per syllabus)  g in the blank belo  Rating (R) (R1)	(Syllabus 1)	

Course Name and #	Yes	☐ Syllabus 1	No	☐ Syllabus 1
Course Name and #	Yes	☐ Syllabus 2	No	☐ Syllabus 2
**(Areas of revision of course submitted are indicated materials that reflect significant revision (typenew syllabus) since the last evaluation is included.	ped list of	revisions or hig	hlight the r	evisions in the
**  Not applicable  This is a new instructor at PCCUA and it is  If a new instructor, place "NA" in rating rating for instructional design skill.				
Rating Scale (Place the proper number rating in 3 – Exceptional (both syllabi answered "Yes" ab 2 – Effective (answered "Yes" for one syllabus a 1 - Needs Improvement (answered "No" above)	ove)	x below)		
Comments:			RatingI	32-2:
B3 - 3. Uses evaluation methods that are related	to and an	propriate for co	ourse conte	<b>nt.</b> (Evidence
of <b>two methods</b> such as <b>tests</b> , <b>assignments</b> , <b>proje</b> included in Portfolio Section A.)				
Course Name and #	Yes	☐ Syllabus 1	No	☐ Syllabus 1
Course Name and #	Yes	☐ Syllabus 1	No	☐ Syllabus 1
Rating Scale (Place the proper number rating in 3- Exceptional ( <u>lists two</u> (2) or more methods <u>on</u> 2 – Effective (lists one (1) method above) 1 - Needs Improvement (lists zero (0))		,		
Comments: B3:				Rating

**B2 - 2**. Reviews, modifies and/or updates course materials.

<b>B4</b> – <b>4.</b> students	<b>Informs students of the objectives of the</b> and included in the sylla	•	Course o	bjectives	are commu	nicated to	)
	Course Name and #	Yes	□ Syll	labus 1	No	□ Syllab	us 1
	Course Name and #	Yes	□ Syll	labus 1	No	□ Syllab	us 1
3 - Exce 2 - Effec	Scale (Place the proper number rating in ptional (both syllabi includes clear objective (answered "Yes" above for one sylls Improvement (answered "No" above)	ctives)	below)				
Comme	nts:				Rating B	34:	
Peer Ra	ting for Instructional Design Skills		(	(B1+B2+I	B3+B4)/4 _		
□Recore	d on Peer Evaluation Faculty Member S	ummary (l	ast page	e)			

## II. College Service or Activity Attendance

Faculty will receive one check in each box where they are a "member/participant" of a committee and one additional check if they are the "Any Office, Chair, Sponsor" of that committee. ("One point" for "member/participant" and "one additional point" for Any Office, Chair, Sponsor.)

\*Must attend two-thirds of all meetings before actually being a "member" of that committee and checking the box/s below or <u>name a proxy (can be anyone in the department)</u> if the faculty member has a class (ex: Secondary Center, labs, clinical, etc.). If there is to be a vote on an issue at the meeting, the faculty member can type a statement expressing their vote with their signature and send with their proxy:

C	ollege Committees or Activities:		
C	onege committees of Activities.	Member/ Participant	Any Office, Chair, Sponsor
1. 2.	Academic Standards Committee Achieving the Dream		
3.	Assessment Committee		
	Attend Career Days or Career Fairs		<b>–</b>
5.	Career Pathways		
6.	Carl Perkins (proposals, workshops, etc.)	Ē	
7.	College Council Team	_	
	Curriculum Committee		
	Distance Learning Committee		
	Early Alert Committee		
	Elections Committee		
12.	Faculty Development		
	Faculty Equity Committee		
14.	Faculty Senate		
15.	Financial Aid Exceptions		
16.	Graduation Committee		
17.	Guest Lecturer in Area Schools		
18.	IDEA Grant (write or direct)		
19.	Information Technology Team		
	Institutional Planning & Effectiveness Team		
21.	Instruction and Curriculum Team		
22.	Plan, Set Up and Participate in Career Fair (2 pts)		
23.	Presentation for College Tours from Area School	s $\square$	
24.	Resource Development Committee		
25.	Special Events Committee		
26.	Student Activities Committee		
27.	Student Club/Organization		
28.	Student Retention & Recruitment		
29.	Student Success Team		
30.	Student Support Services		
31.	Title III		

<u>Points</u>
<del></del>
<del></del>
<del></del>
low)

# III. Professional Development

Please check the appropriate boxes. \*Give one point for attending each day of a conference – maximum of 2 points.

Prof	fessional Development Activities:	1 <sup>st</sup> Day/2 <sup>nd</sup> Day		ional 2 Po r, Modera	ints each: itor, Panelist
1.	Attend AATYC				
2.	Attend Workshops				
	Name				
3.	Book Discussion Group				
	Book Group				
	Book Group				
	Book Group				
4.	Consulting (two or more contact visits 2 pts)				
5.	Design & Implement Personal WebPage (2 pts)				
6.	Graduate Class (2 pts-see statement below)				
	(not awarded if required for employment)				
7.	One-Time Consulting (one visit)				
8.	Membership in Professional Organizations				
	Name				
	Name				
	Name				
9.	National/International Conference/s (2 pts max)				
	Conference Name				
	Conference Name				
	Conference Name				
10.	Organized and Planned a State, Regional, or				
	National Workshop or Convention for Educators.				
11.	Plan & Present In-Service (2 pts)				
	Plan & Present On Campus Workshops (2pts)				
	Publications (2 pts)				
	Specific Teaching Institutes or Seminars				
	which require great effort of the participant.				
	(ex. Great Teacher's Workshop, National Endowmen	nt of			
	Arts, Discipline Content Conference, Institute or Sen	ninar- 5 pts award	led)		
15.	State Conference/s for Your Discipline (2 pts max)	-	ĺ		
	Conference Name				
	Conference Name				
	Conference Name				
16.	Textbook Reviewer				

Additional Professional Development Activities		<u>!</u>	<u>Points</u>
Rating Scale (Place the proper number rating in the 6 or above points = Rating of 3 - Exceptional 4-5 points = Rating of 2 - Effective 3 or less points = Rating of 1 - Needs Improvemen			
Comments:			
eer Rating for Professional Development	_		
Peer Rating for Professional Development  Record on Peer Evaluation of Faculty member Sumn	- nary (last nage)		

# IV. Community Service or Community Attendance

Faculty will receive one point for each Community Service Activity. List All Community Service Activities below:

Community Service Activities:		Chair, Organizer, President
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
Rating Scale (Place the proper number rating of 3 – Exceptional street or above points = Rating of 2 – Effective street or less points = Rating of 1 - Needs Important of the street of	ng in the blank below) l	
Peer Rating for Community Service		
Record also on Peer Evaluation of Faculty	member Summary (la	ast page)

# **Peer Evaluation of Faculty Member Summary**

# To be completed by peer team member

tructor Being Evaluated:ar:	Evaluation
Instructional Design Skills	Peer Rating:
College Service	Peer Rating:
Professional Development	Peer Rating:
Community Service	Peer Rating:
Peer Evaluator's Signature	

**NOTE: Upon completion of evaluation:** 

Forward entire Peer Evaluation of Faculty Member Form (all pages) to Debbie Hardy, Director of Assessment. (Do Not Remove last page.)

# ATTACHMENT 2: PEER EVALUATION OF FACULTY MEMBER <u>REVISED FOR USE IN 2012-13</u>: CHANGES HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW FONT

Peer 1	Eval	luation	of i	Facul	ty I	Member	

Please use the scale below for rating faculty.

**Teaching Rating Scale (Use for Section I- B1: Teaching)** 

#### **Rating Scale**

- 3 = Exceptional (15 or higher per syllabus)
- 2 = Effective (13-14 per syllabus)
- 1 =Needs Improvement (12 or below per syllabus)

Teaching Rating Scale for Questions B2-2 and B3-3 follow rating scale listed for each question.

#### College Service, Professional Development and Community Service Rating Scale

#### 3 – Exceptional.

This is a job performance that is outstanding in almost every aspect. An exceptional rating implies that virtually any knowledgeable observer would recognize the overall high quality results in all major areas of job emphasis. To earn a rating of *exceptional* in College Service, Professional Development and Community Service the faculty member should have 6 or above total points.

#### 2 – Effective.

This is a job performance at the level intended for the job. Overall performance does not noticeably deviate from an acceptable level. To earn a rating of *effective* in College Service, Professional Development and Community Service, the faculty member should have 4-5 total points.

#### 1 – Needs Improvement.

This is job performance that is short of effective. Further development and/or experience on the job is needed and there should be improvement within the next year. To earn a rating of *needs improvement* in College Service, Professional Development and Community Service, the faculty member should have 3 or less total points.

Instructor Being Evaluated:		
I.Teaching		
a. Instructional Delivery Skills (average of questions 1-13	3 on student evaluation	ons.
b. Instructional Design Skills (average of question	ons 14-15 on student	evaluations.
1. Has current and relevant syllabi (Two current syllabi	are provided)	
<b>B1-1:</b> Administrative procedure #363.02 suggests the following	ng sections be includ	led in a
course syllabus and communicated to the students: Afte	r reviewing the con	tent of
syllabi in the portfolio check "Yes" if the items listed	below are included	in the syllabi.
Course Name and Number		
	Syllabus 1	Syllabus 2
	<u>Yes</u>	Yes
1. Title of Course & Date		
2. Instructor Name and Contact Information		
3. Credit Hours		
4. College Catalog Description of the Course		
5. Student Learning Outcomes/Course Objectives		
6. Course Outline of assignments and class		
activities (ex. deadlines, fixed number of		
examinations, field trips, appearances by guests, etc.		
outline can be included as separate document)	П	П
7. Course Policies and Procedures (Ex. types of examinations, absence policies, grading,	Ц	Ш
participation, outside reading, etc.)		
8. Academic Honesty Policy (Allied Health or		
other programs may provide program handbook)		
9. Campus Support Services (Allied Health or		
other programs may provide program handbook)	_	_
10. ADA Policy	님	님
11. FERPA Policy		
12. Insurance	님	
13. ACTS	片	
14. College Core Competencies		
15. Group Projects/Portfolio		
16. Community Service/Activities		
17. Computer Activities		
18. Field Trips		
19. Textbook/Reading Assignments	Ш	

20. Oth	er			
	To	otal	Syllabus 1	Syllabus 2
$2 = \mathbf{E} \mathbf{i}$ $1 = \mathbf{N}$	xceptional (15 or high ffective (13-14 per syl eeds Improvement (1 proper number ratin	llabus) 2 or below per s	,	ıs.
1.	Course Number	Rating (R)(R	1) (Syllabus 1)	
2.		(R	2) (Syllabus 2)	
Comments:				
D1. TWO CV	T I ARI CURMITTEI	D. AVEDACE D	ATINC P1. (D1 + 1	D2)/2 —

B2-2: Reviews, modifies and/or up course outlines, etc.).	dates course materials <mark>(Such</mark>	as PowerPoint's, assignments,
Course Name and #	Yes 🗆 Syllabus 1	No □ Syllabus 1
Course Name and #	Yes 🗆 Syllabus 2	No □ Syllabus 2
**(Areas of revision of course subm materials that reflect <u>significant revis</u> <b>syllabus</b> ) since the last evaluation is	ion (typed list of revisions or	
**  Not applicable  This is a new instructor at PCCUA a  If a new instructor, place "NA" in rating for instructional design skil	rating for B2-2 and do not inc	
Rating Scale (Place the proper nu 3 = Exceptional (both sylla 2 = Effective (answered "Y 1 = Needs Improvement (an	bi answered "Yes" above) "es" for one syllabus above)	w)
Comments:		RatingB2:
B3-3: Uses evaluation methods that (Evidence of two methods such evaluation of students must be are included in Portfolio Section	as <b>tests, assignments, project</b> identified within each syllabus	s, or rubrics used in the
Course Name and #	Yes 🗆 Syllabus 1	No 🗆 Syllabus 1
Course Name and #	Yes  Syllabus 1	No 🗆 Syllabus 1
Rating Scale (Place the proper nu 3= Exceptional ( <u>lists two</u> (2 2= Effective (lists one (1) n 1 = Needs Improvement (li	) or more methods <u>on both sy</u> ethod above)	
Comments:		Rating B3:

<b>B4-4:</b> Informs students of to communicated to students	the objectives of the countries and included in the		tives/ <mark>outcomes</mark> are	
Course Name and #	Yes	☐ Syllabus 1	No 🗆 Syllabus 1	
Course Name and #	Yes	☐ Syllabus 1	No □ Syllabus 1	
Rating Scale (Place the prop 3 = Exceptional (both syllab 2 = Effective (answered "Ye 1 = Needs Improvement (an	i includes clear objecti s" above for one syllab	ves)		
Comments:			Rating B4:	
Peer Rating for Instruction	nl Design Skills	(B1+B2	2+B3+B4)/4	
■Record on Peer Evaluation	n Faculty Member Sum	mary (last page)		
II. College Servic	e or Activity Attendan	ce		
Faculty will receive one che	ck in each box where th	ney are a "membe	r/participant" of a commi	ttee

and one additional check if they are the "Any Office, Chair, Sponsor" of that committee. ("One point" for "member/participant" and "one additional point" for Any Office, Chair, Sponsor.)

\*Must attend two-thirds of all meetings before actually being a "member" of that committee and checking the box/s below or <u>name a proxy (can be anyone in the department) if the faculty member has a class</u> (ex: Secondary Center, labs, clinical, etc.). If there is to be a vote on an issue at the meeting, the faculty member can type a statement expressing their vote with their signature and send with their proxy:

College Committees or Activities:		
Conege Committees of Activities.	Member/ Participant	Any Office, Chair, Sponsor
<ul><li>32. Academic Standards Committee</li><li>33. Achieving the Dream</li></ul>		
34. Assessment Committee		
35. Attend Career Days or Career Fairs		_
36. Career Pathways		
37. Carl Perkins (proposals, workshops, etc.)		
38. College Council Team		
39. Curriculum Committee		
40. Distance Learning Committee		
41. Early Alert Committee		
42. Elections Committee		
43. Faculty Association		
44. Faculty Development		
45. Faculty Equity Committee		
46. Faculty Senate		
47. Financial Aid Exceptions		
48. Graduation Committee		
49. Guest Lecturer in Area Schools		
50. IDEA Grant (write or direct)		_
51. Information Technology Team		
52. Institutional Planning & Effectiveness Team		
53. Instruction and Curriculum Team		
54. Plan, Set Up and Participate in Career Fair (2 pts)		
55. Presentation for College Tours from Area School	s $\square$	
56. Resource Development Committee		
57. Special Events Committee		
58. Student Activities Committee		
59. Student Club/Organization		
60. Student Retention & Recruitment		
61. Student Success Team		
62. Student Support Services		

ditional College Service Committees or Activities	<b>Points</b>
	<del></del>
Total college services and additional activities points	
Total conege services and additional activities points	<del></del>
Detine Cook (Diese the more second or matine in the blood	I- II)
Rating Scale (Place the proper number rating in the blan	k below)
o or above boints = Kating of 5 - Exceptional	
6 or above points = Rating of 3 - Exceptional 4-5 points = Rating of 2 - Effective	
4-5 points = Rating of 2 - Effective	
4-5 points = Rating of 2 - Effective 3 or less points = Rating of 1 - Needs Improvement	
4-5 points = Rating of 2 - Effective 3 or less points = Rating of 1 - Needs Improvement	
4-5 points = Rating of 2 - Effective 3 or less points = Rating of 1 - Needs Improvement	
4-5 points = Rating of 2 - Effective	
4-5 points = Rating of 2 - Effective 3 or less points = Rating of 1 - Needs Improvement	
4-5 points = Rating of 2 - Effective 3 or less points = Rating of 1 - Needs Improvement	

# III. Professional Development

Please check the appropriate boxes. \*Give one point for attending each day of a conference – maximum of 2 points.

Professional Development Activities:	1st Day/2nd Day		oints each: ator, Panelist
17. Attend AATYC			
18. Attend Workshops/Webinars			
Name			
19. Book Discussion Group			
Book Group			
Book Group			
Book Group			
20. Consulting (two or more contact visits 2 pts)			
21. Design & Implement Personal WebPage (2 pts)			
22. Graduate Class (2 pts-see statement below)			
(not awarded if required for employment)			
23. One-Time Consulting (one visit)			
24. Membership in Professional Organizations			
Name			
Name			
Name			
25. National/International Conference/s (2 pts max)			
Conference Name			
Conference Name			
Conference Name			
26. Organized and Planned a State, Regional, or			
National Workshop or Convention for Educators.			
27. Plan & Present In-Service (2 pts)			
28. Plan & Present On Campus Workshops (2pts)			
29. Publications (2 pts)			
30. Specific Teaching Institutes or Seminars			
which require great effort of the participant.			
(ex. Great Teacher's Workshop, National Endowmer			
Arts, Discipline Content Conference, Institute or Sen	ninar- <b>5 pts award</b>	led)	
31. State Conference/s for Your Discipline (2 pts max)			
Conference Name			
Conference Name			
Conference Name			
32. Textbook Reviewer			

Additional Professional Development Activities			<b>Points</b>
	_		
	_		
	_		
	_		
	_		
	_		
	_		
	_		
Total professional development and additional ac	tivities points		
Rating Scale (Place the proper number rating in 6 or above points = Rating of 3 – Exceptional 4-5 points = Rating of 2 - Effective 3 or less points = Rating of 1 - Needs Improven			
Comments:			
Peer Rating for Professional Development			
Record on Peer Evaluation of Faculty member Su	<b>a</b> 4	`	

# IV. Community Service or Community Attendance

Faculty will receive one point for each Community Service attendance or activity. List All Community Service attendance and activities below:

Community Service Activities:		
Community Service Activities:		Chair, Organizer, President
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
Rating Scale (Place the proper number of or above points = Rating of 3 – Except l-5 points = Rating of 2 – Effection or less points = Rating of 1 - Needs I Comments:	rating in the blank below) ional ve	
Peer Rating for Community Service	ulty member Summery (le	
Record also on Peer Evaluation of Fac	uity member Summary (la:	st page)

25

# **Peer Evaluation of Faculty Member Summary**

# To be completed by peer team member

Instructor Being Evaluated:	Evaluation Year:
Instructional Design Skills	Peer Rating:
College Service	Peer Rating:
Professional Development	Peer Rating:
Community Service	Peer Rating:
Peer Evaluator's Signature	Date

**NOTE:** Upon completion of evaluation:

Forward entire Peer Evaluation of Faculty Member Form (all pages) to Debbie Hardy, Director of Assessment (Do Not Remove last page).

# **ATTACHMENT 3: STUDENT EVALUATION**



# **Student Evaluation of Instruction Survey**

Instructions: Please shade the appropriate circle for each question.

# My instructor:

	, monutation	Always	Usually	Needs Improvement
1.	Starts and ends the class/lab on time.	O	О	O
2.	Is prepared for class/lab.	O	O	O
3.	Demonstrates enthusiasm for his or her subject.	O	O	O
4.	Gives tests/assignments reflecting course objectives/lessons taught.	O	O	O
5.	Encourages students' interest, attention, and participation.	O	О	O
6.	Presents material in a way I can understand.	O	O	O
7.	Grades and returns test/assignments within two(2) weeks.	O	О	O
8.	Provides homework, exercises, or other assignments to help me learn the information taught.	O	O	O
9.	Is easy to talk to, is available, approachable.	O	O	O
10.	Informs students of their progress in the course.	O	O	O
11.	Uses class time to effectively teach the subject.	O	O	O
12.	Uses some of these teaching methods: lecture, group activities, demonstrations, discussions, and others.	О	O	O
13.	Instructor speaks clear and understandable English.	O	O	O
14.	Demonstrates knowledge in his or her subject area.	O	O	O
15.	My grade is determined by a variety of factors (activities, tests, quizzes, assignments, lab work, outside readings, group work, etc.)	О	O	O

16.	Rate the usefulness of this form in evaluating the course and/or instructor.	<b>Excellent</b> O	Satisfactory O	<b>Unsatisfactory</b> O
c	omments:			

(If you rate it "unsatisfactory", please provide comments on what you would include.)

Note: You may use the comment section for your personal comments on Teacher

Effectiveness and General Course Value.